**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI**
**and**
**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO**

WRIT PETITION Nos.22824, 22825, 22871 and 23254 of 2006

**DATED:18.01.2007**

W.P.No.22824 of 2006
Between:

Agricultural Market Committee.

..Petitioner

And

The Income Tax Officer, Hyderabad and others. ..Respondents

W.P.No.22825 of 2006

Between:

Agricultural Market Committee.

..Petitioner

And

Assistant Director of Indome Tax, Hyderabad and others. ..Respondents

W.P.No.22871 of 2006

Between:

Agricultural Market Committee.

..Petitioner

And

Union of India, New Delhi. and others.

..Respondents

W.P.No.23254 of 2006

Between:

Agricultural Market Committee.

..Petitioner

And

Union of India and others. ..Respondents

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI**
**and**
**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO**

WRIT PETITION Nos.22824, 22825, 22871 and 23254 of 2006

**COMMON ORDER:** (per BN,J)

These writ petitions have been filed challenging the assessments made under the Income Tax Act on various grounds.

During the course of hearing, it was stated that in some of the cases, appeals have already been filed before the appellate authorities, which are pending. It is further submitted that in one of the cases, the appeal was dismissed and a further appeal is pending before the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal. Since the petitioners have efficacious remedy available and in some of the cases, appeals have already been filed, therefore, we decline to admit these writ petitions. The petitioners are at liberty to file appeals in those matters in which appeals have not been filed so far. They are also at liberty to move stay applications before the appellate authority. In some matters where appeals have been filed and are pending, the appellate authorities are directed to dispose of the appeals expeditiously.

The Writ Petitions are accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**(BILAL NAZKI, J)**

Dt.18.01.2007.

**(NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO, J)**

GJ